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**CASE REPORT**

An otherwise healthy 10-year-old boy initially presented to the senior author (A.B.) for evaluation of persistent right elbow stiffness after fracture management. The right-hand–dominant patient sustained an injury to the elbow approximately 10 weeks earlier, while playing football. Within a few hours of the injury, he underwent evaluation in a pediatric emergency department (ED), where he presented with moderate right elbow pain and weakness with movement. He reported no history of numbness, tingling, or associated injuries. Clinical findings included swelling and tenderness on the medial aspect of the right elbow. The patient had decreased range of motion (ROM) secondary to pain, with sensory function and pulses intact, and brisk distal capillary refill. Physical examination and systems review were otherwise unremarkable. Lateral and anteroposterior (AP) radiographs of the right elbow (Figure 1) were obtained in the ED. There was an elbow effusion. On the lateral radiograph, a small bony fragment was noted anterior to the distal humeral metaphysis; there was no identifiable fracture line on the AP view. A long-arm posterior splint was applied, with the elbow at 90° of flexion and the wrist in neutral position. The patient was discharged home with follow-up instructions.

The patient presented to a local orthopedic surgeon and sports medicine specialist, who diagnosed a right medial epicondyle humerus fracture. Treatment consisted of long-arm casting for 3 weeks. The patient was then instructed to perform ROM exercises at home. On his return 6 weeks after injury, he was still having difficulty with motion. Physical therapy was initiated. Nine to 10 weeks after injury, a fairly rigid block to further progression of elbow ROM was noted.

The patient was referred to our pediatric orthopedic surgery subspecialty center. On initial presentation, right elbow ROM was markedly decreased (approximately 50° to 95° of flexion). The boy was having difficulty with activities of daily living, such as eating, buttoning his clothing, and manipulating a belt buckle. The elbow had full supination and pronation. The patient was nontender to palpation and had no appreciable edema. Injury radiographs showed ossification anterior to the metaphysis, seen best on the lateral view (Figure 1).

The medial epicondyle appeared to be subtly displaced, medial and superior. The medial epicondyle appeared in normal anatomic position on the uninjured side. Two-month follow-up radiographs showed periosteal new bone along the medial column. The trochlea
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demonstrated an irregular, stippled ossification center (Figure 2), symmetric with comparison radiographs.

Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), performed to further evaluate the extent of the medial-sided injury (Figures 3A–3C), showed the fracture was truly a medial condyle fracture with anterior and superior displacement of the condyle and with diastasis of 8.5 mm (Figures 3A–3C). Computed tomography with 3-dimensional reconstruction also delineated the extent of injury (Figure 4).

The extent of bony healing was thought to preclude any possibility of joint restoration. The patient continued therapy. At last follow-up, he was noted as having elbow ROM from 15° to 125° with full supination and pronation.

The patient’s parents provided written informed consent for print and electronic publication of this case report.

**Discussion**

The medial humeral condyle fracture is intra-articular, as it involves the articular surface of the trochlea. The fracture line intersects the distal humerus and the medial metaphyseal-epicondylar segment.\(^1\) The fracture is caused by a direct impact to the apex of the flexed elbow, as in a fall, which forces the olecranon into the medial condyle of the humerus.\(^2,3\) Another potential mechanism of injury is avulsion of the medial humeral condyle, caused by a fall on the outstretched hand stressing the medial collateral ligament and flexor insertions.\(^2-4\) Chacha\(^5\) postulated that the lesion results from varus stress placed on the extended elbow. Medial condyle fracture most commonly occurs between 8 years and 12 years of age.\(^3,6\) The condition is rarely mentioned in the clinical literature, and Ingersoll\(^7\) declared it improbable in children. In our thorough review of the literature published over 64 years, we found occurrence rates of less than 1% to 2% relative to all other pediatric elbow fractures.\(^8-14\) Three epidemiologic analyses of elbow fractures (300, 355, and 589 children) found no isolated medial condyle humeral fractures.\(^10,13,15\) Although infrequent, this injury presents with multiple diagnostic challenges, and complications are prevalent, with rates of up to 33% reported.\(^8,14\) The
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The lesion is often mistaken for the much more common fracture of the medial humeral epicondyle, which occurs 5 to 10 times as much and has a much more benign course with significantly lower risk for functional complications.14,16,17 Herein lie the diagnostic and treatment challenges of pediatric medial humeral condyle fractures.

A thorough understanding of the developing anatomical features within the pediatric elbow is essential for accurate assessment and diagnosis and for recognition of several potential complications. Multiple distal humeral ossification centers develop at different ages in infancy and adolescence (Figure 5).

The neonatal distal humerus is completely cartilaginous, with the first ossific nucleus forming at the capitellum around 1 year of age, followed by onset of ossification of the medial humeral epicondyle at age 4 or 5 with continuation through ages 9 to 13. Epicondylar-humeral unification may occur as late as age 15 or 16.4,8,18 Trochlear ossification, which encompasses the medial condyle, typically initiates at multiple nuclei and usually does not occur until ages 7 to 13.4,8,18 The last center to develop is the lateral humeral epicondyle, at ages 12 to 14.

As medial humeral condyle fractures usually occur between ages 8 and 12, the medial epicondylar ossific nucleus may be evident on radiographic studies, while trochlear ossification is absent or incomplete at this stage (Figure 6A). Consequently, medial humeral condyle fractures (Figure 6B) are often mistakenly diagnosed as isolated medial epicondyle fractures (Figure 6C).

Proper distinction of these 2 entities is very important, as their respective treatments and outcomes are widely divergent. The literature strongly supports nonsurgical treatment of medial epicondylar humeral fractures displaced less than 2 mm up to 5 mm, with extensive evidence for good functional outcomes.16,19-21 Moreover, Farsetti and colleagues17 found comparable nonsurgical outcomes in medial epicondyle fractures displaced more than 5 mm up to 15 mm, including those progressing to nonunion or fibrous union. According to Wheeless,22 surgical care of the medial epicondyle fracture is indicated in cases displaced more than 5 mm, and in cases involving fragment entrapment within the joint, ulnar neuropathy, or valgus instability. Conversely, the accepted threshold is much lower for surgical assessment and treatment of the medial humeral condyle fracture. Stable nondisplaced fractures may be candidates for simple immobilization,14,23 but open visualization of the immature elbow joint is advised whenever there is potential displacement of the medial humeral condyle.24 Timeliness of surgical intervention is another important factor, as the potential for successful restoration of articular congruity diminishes within mere days after injury.25 Surgical intervention becomes increasingly more difficult as fracture margins dull and eventually are overcome by callus and scar tissue.4 Accurate reduction and secure fixation are thought to be required for proper treatment,2,23 as this injury carries a high incidence of poor outcomes related to malunion and nonunion, with associated cubitus varus deformity, loss of motion, and long-term functional limitations.3 The preponderance of reports illustrates poor outcomes in surgical treatment of old lesions.8,26 As historically supported, Ippolito and colleagues26 suggested better long-term function in untreated nonunions than in joints sustaining necrotic distal humeral collapse.

A critical feature of pediatric medial humeral condyle fractures is growth center involvement. The distal humerus is supplied by a solitary nutrient vessel.27 Therefore, vascular insult has a significant impact on healing and subsequent humeral development. Vascular supply to the trochlear epiphysis may be diminished, leading to suppression of growth and/or fracture union, and resultant
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Figure 5. Pediatric distal humeral ossification centers.

Figure 6. At 8 to 10 years of age: (A) intact distal humerus, (B) medial humeral epicondyle fracture, (C) medial humeral condyle fracture.
cubitus varus deformity. Alternatively, growth may be stimulated at the injury site, yielding a cubitus valgus state. If blood flow to the area ceases, osteonecrosis results. One must also consider the risk for ulnar neuropathy in displaced medial condylar humerus fractures, given the relative proximity of the ulnar nerve. These potential complications have a significant impact on overall long-term elbow function outcomes.

The American Academy of Orthopaedic Surgeons has reported normal elbow ROM to be 0° to 150°. Morrey and colleagues found that most activities of daily living occur in the range of 30° to 130° of elbow flexion. Good functional outcomes of medial condyle humerus fractures are directly related to early and accurate assessment and appropriate treatment. However, delay or failure of proper diagnosis is common, in large part because of the radiolucent cartilaginous structures involved. Lack of awareness and rarity of the injury may also lead to inadequate evaluation and, in turn, insufficient treatment. Although the unossified structures are not visible on radiographic studies, plain radiographs may provide other valuable evidence. The appearance of medial epicondylar displacement, or metaphyseal flaking in the younger child, may be associated with injury to adjacent structures. A hallmark of our patient’s case was the appearance of the epicondylo proximal to the continuation of the medial metaphyseal line (Figures 3, 4A). Medial column involvement should be assessed with lateral, AP, and oblique radiographs. Including an oblique radiograph may help in identifying a medial column fracture line and improving assessment of degrees of displacement. Localized soft-tissue edema and fat-pad signs may provide additional evidence for more extensive injury or may be the only radiographic indication of occult fracture. Although the fat-pad sign should increase suspicion for intra-articular fracture, its absence does not exclude the diagnosis, as this radiographic feature results from blood and/or other fluid accumulating within an essentially intact joint capsule. Trauma may compromise the integrity of that capsule, thus diffusing the fluids throughout surrounding tissues, causing localized ecchymosis and soft-tissue swelling. As supported in the literature, any of these radiographic and clinical clues is an indication for further investigative measures, including examination of valgus joint stability under anesthesia, stress radiographs, arthrography, and MRI. As one-third of valgus stability in the fully extended elbow is attributed to osseous articulation, a fracture that involves the intra-articular surfaces may produce valgus laxity. Gogola found direct associations between condylar fracture nonunion, or displacement, and articular cartilage damage identified on arthrogram or MRI. Timely, secure, and appropriate fixation and close follow-up are required to achieve the best possible outcome with this complex entity.

CONCLUSION

Our patient initially presented with osseous radiographic evidence of an apparent medial epicondylar humerus fracture before trochlear ossification. Further investigation revealed malunion of the displaced medial condyle fracture. The patient’s relatively mature stage of healing and open growth center involvement compounded the already significant risks for complications associated with medial condyle fractures. Surgical treatment potentially raised the additional possibility of harm to the limited vascular supply of the distal humerus, which can lead to malunion, nonunion, asymmetric growth patterns, and avascular necrosis. Although still lacking approximately 15° to 20° of end extension and 25° of end flexion, the patient 1 year after injury had regained functional ROM through diligent physical therapy. His case illustrates a common potential complication associated with a difficult-to-diagnose fracture involving the immature distal humeral condyle. Accurate assessment and diagnosis and adequate reduction and fixation are essential for long-term functional outcomes. For these outcomes to be possible, one must have knowledge of the complex anatomy and development of the elbow joint, subtle radiographic and clinical indications for further investigation, and awareness of common complications.
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